

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/079,873	02/22/2002	Hiromitsu Tanaka	219871US0	7887
22850	7590 12/27/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LE, HOA VAN	
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	SIREEI RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1752	
		1	DATE MAILED: 12/27/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/079,873	TANAKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoa V. Le	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I	November 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>37-63 with respect to the applied spectors.</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	ewn from consideration.  ecies is/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	, ,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	=	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receive Ority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02 December 2004</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:				

This is in response to Papers filed on 12 November 2004.

I. Up to this date the record shows that the Office docket clerk has not charged fee for

Information Disclosure Statement filed on 02 December 2004 as authorized by applicants.

Π. The newly submitted references have about the same teachings and suggestions as those

applied in this Office action and are cumulative but may be later applied when a claimed is

amended. Anyone of their applications or adding any new type of rejections using the applied

references on the record would not properly made the Office action to be final.

III.Claims 37, 40 and 42-44 with respect to the applied species are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Helmer-Metzmann et al (5,741,408).

Helmer-Metzmann et al disclose, teach and suggest processes for obtaining an electrolyte

polymer and (by) a process treating an electrolyte polymer with an amine type containing

compound and then with a heated or raised temperature of the reaction mixture for further

treatment. Others embodiments can also be found at col.1:11 and 14, 9:37-38 and 63-64 and

Example 4 in which the reaction mixture from O<sup>0</sup>c being raised and treated at room temperature

for 16 hours.

With respect to the claimed property of "a diffusion rate" in claim 40, it is not specified

by patentees, However, it is found to be reasonable that the use of an amine type containing

Art Unit: 1752

compound as required in the instant claim. The same or substantially the same "diffusion rate" would be resulted in the absence of an evidence to the contrary.

Since Helmer-Metzmann et al is reasonably disclose, teach and suggest the claimed processes and its products at the time the invention was made, The claim are found to be rendered prima facie obvious by Helmer-Metzmann et al for a reasonable expectation of obtaining stable electrolyte polymer for a long-term operation as disclosed, taught, suggested and obtained in Helmer-Metzmann et al.

Applicant's arguments filed 12 November 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants urge that Helmer-Metzmann et al do not disclose a heat treatment. Please see Example 4 in which the reaction mixture from O<sup>0</sup>c being raised and treated at room temperature for 16 hours.

Applicants urge that the claimed polymer as made by the claimed process has a creep resistant property which is not specified by patentees. It is reasonable that patentees' polymer would have the same or about the same creep resistant property since patentees disclose, teach and suggest the same processing steps and using the requisite chemical ingredients as claimed in the absence of an evidence to the contrary.

VI. Claims 38-63 with the applied species are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michot et al (6,670,424).

Michot et al disclose, teach and suggest processes for obtaining an electrolyte polymer and (by) a process treating an electrolyte polymer (1) with an amine type containing compound

Art Unit: 1752

and heating treatment or (2) with an amine type containing compound, heating treatment and base treatment or (3) with an amine type containing compound, heating treatment, base treatment and heat treatment. Others embodiments can also be found at col.1:13-14 and 26-27 and Examples 1-13.

With respect to the claimed property of "a diffusion rate" in claims 40, 48 and 56, it is not specified by patentees, However, it is found to be reasonable that the use of an amine type containing compound as required in the instant claim. The same or substantially the same "diffusion rate" would be resulted in the absence of an evidence to the contrary.

Since Michot et al is reasonably disclose, teach and suggest the claimed processes and its products at the time the invention was made, The claim are found to be rendered prima facie obvious by Michot et al for a reasonable expectation of obtaining a mechanical resistant electrolyte polymer for a long-term operation as disclosed, taught, suggested and obtained in Michot et al.

Applicant's arguments filed 12 November 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants urge that patentees do not disclose a heat treatment or a base treatment or both of heat and base treatments. Please see Examples 1-13 (1) with an amine type containing compound and heating treatment or (2) with an amine type containing compound, heating treatment and base treatment or (3) with an amine type containing compound, heating treatment, base treatment and heat treatment.

Applicants urge that the claimed polymer as made by the claimed process has a creep resistant property which is not specified by patentees. It is reasonable that patentees' polymer

Art Unit: 1752

would have the same or about the same creep resistant property since patentees disclose, teach and suggest the same processing steps and using the requisite chemical ingredients as claimed in the absence of an evidence to the contrary.

VII. Claims 1 and 6-8 with independent claim 1 being broadest and respect to the elected and applied species are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moya (Application Serial No. 10/848,399 which is equivalent to WO 01/27167 as submitted) with Application Serial No. 10/089,217 being abandoned. The rejection on the record is withdrawn in view of the amendment.

VIII. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

IX. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/079,873

Art Unit: 1752

examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM on Monday though Thursday

and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia Kelley can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving number 703-

872-9306,

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examin

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752

HVL

21 December 2004

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6